

Scott H. Frewing, State Bar No. 191311
Paul S. Ham, State Bar No. 227395
Mark T. Roche, State Bar No. 245773
Mark R. Feeser, State Bar No. 252968
David J. Stoll, State Bar No. 243909

Baker & McKenzie LLP

660 Hansen Way
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1044
Telephone: +1 650 856 2400
Facsimile: +1 650 856 9299

scott.h.frewing@bakernet.com
paul.s.ham@bakernet.com
mark.t.roche@bakernet.com
mark.r.feeser@bakernet.com
david.j.stoll@bakernet.com

Attorneys for Defendant
Ramon Scruggs, MD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
RAMON SCRUGGS, MD.,
Defendant.

Case No. CR-08-144 JW (PT)

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER RE-SCHEDULING STATUS
HEARING TO OCTOBER 27, 2008 AT
1:30 P.M.**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED between the parties that, subject to the Court's approval, the status hearing, currently scheduled for Monday, September 15, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. shall be vacated, and the new hearing date shall be October 27, 2008 at 1:30 p.m.

The parties submit the following reasons provide good cause for the continuance:

1. On August 21, 2008, new counsel for Dr. Ramon Scruggs was recently appointed by Hon. Patricia V. Trumbull. Also on August, 21, 2008, undersigned counsel for Dr. Scruggs received copies of substantial discovery including 45 compact discs (“CDs”) of discovery containing documents, computer images, and audio recordings.

2. Review of the voluminous discovery by undersigned counsel for Dr. Scruggs will

1 require a significant amount of time, and as a result, counsel for Dr. Scruggs will be unable to
 2 reasonably evaluate next steps for this case by the scheduled September 15, 2008 status hearing.
 3 Undersigned counsel for Dr. Scruggs believes that by October 27, 2008, he will be in a substantially
 4 better position to advise the Court of further steps in this litigation.

5 3. Undersigned counsel for the Defendants agree that good cause exists for an exclusion
 6 of time from the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, on the grounds that failure to grant a
 7 continuance would deny counsel for Dr. Ramon Scruggs reasonable time for effective preparation,
 8 taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

9 4. The parties respectfully further request that the appearance of the defendants at the
 10 hearing on October 27, 2008, be waived on the grounds that the hearing will merely address
 11 scheduling and the defendants reside in Southern California.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

13
 14 Dated: September __, 2008

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

16 By: /s/
 17 Scott H. Frewing
 Attorneys for Ramon Scruggs, MD

18 Dated: September __, 2008

20 By: /s/
 21 Thomas J. Nolan
 Attorney for Allan Danto

22 Dated: September __, 2008

23 By: /s/
 24 Lara S. Vinnard,
 Attorney for Heidi MacPherson

1 Dated: September __, 2008

JOSEPH RUSSONIELLO
United States Attorney

3 By: /s/

4 Jeffrey D. Nedrow
Assistant United States Attorney

5
6 Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B), Scott H. Frewing hereby attests that the
7 signatory's concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained.

8
9 Dated: September __, 2008

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

10
11 By: /s/

12 Scott H. Frewing
Attorneys for Ramon Scruggs, MD

13
14 **ORDER**

15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the status hearing, currently scheduled for Monday,
16 September 15, 2008 at 1:30 p.m. shall be vacated, and the new hearing date shall be October 27,
17 2008 at 1:30 p.m. The defendants are not required to appear in person at the October 27, 2008,
18 hearing. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h) the period from September 15, 2008 to October 27, 2008,
19 shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial must commence.

20
21 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

22
23 Dated: September 11, 2008

24 By:


25 JAMES WARE
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE